IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.14677 of 2008
JAI NARAIN YADAV
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR
---

2. 15.5.2008. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

Informant and the present petitioner are brothers. Dispute over certain property has led to bad blood amongst them, which is evident from the two cases which have come to be filed against each other. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a practicing Advocate and he has been falsely implicated in the matter by alleging certain overt and covert act in the F.I.R. This is his contention that it is a case of false implication in the circumstances explained as well as indicated in the bail application before this Court.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Taking into consideration the entirety of the facts and the materials, let the petitioner, namely, Jai Narain Yadav in the event of arrest/surrender within four weeks from today, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties in the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Naugachia, District-Bhagalpur in connection with Bhawanipur (Bihpur) P.S. Case No.60/2007, subject to conditions under Section 438(II) of the Cr.P.C.

Pawan/- **(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)**